

## Lecture: Apr 14

Lecturer: Xianyang Zhang

## 1 Donsker Class

A collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions is called  $P$ -Donsker if the process  $\{\sqrt{n}(P_n - P)f\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$  converges to a tight limit  $G$  indexed by  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $L^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ . Here  $G$  is a gaussian process. In particular,

$$(\sqrt{n}(P_n - P)f_1, \dots, \sqrt{n}(P_n - P)f_k) \rightarrow (G_{f_1}, \dots, G_{f_k})$$

and

$$\text{cov}(G_{f_i}, G_{f_j}) = \text{cov}(f_i(X), f_j(X)),$$

where  $X \sim P$ .

### 1.1 Example

Let  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\Theta$  is compact. Let

$$l_\theta(\cdot) : \Theta \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with  $l_\theta(\cdot)$  being  $L(x)$ -Lipschitz continuous in  $\theta$  and  $\mathbb{E}[L(X)^2] < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{l_\theta(\cdot)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is  $P$ -Donsker and

$$\{\sqrt{n}(P_n - P)l_\theta\}_{l_\theta \in \mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{d} G_\theta.$$

where

$$\text{cov}(G_{\theta_i}, G_{\theta_j}) = \text{cov}(l_{\theta_i}(X), l_{\theta_j}(X))$$

for  $X \sim P$ .

### 1.2 Main theorem

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions mapping from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $F$  be an envelop function of  $\mathcal{F}$ , (i.e. for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|f(x)| \leq F(x)$ ). Suppose  $PF^2 < \infty$  and

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(Q), \|F\|_{L_2(Q)}\epsilon)} d\epsilon < \infty,$$

where the sup is over all finitely supported measure  $Q$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.

### 1.3 Idea of the proof

To prove the limit exists, we only need to check two conditions.

- For finite dimensional convergence, we only need to verify the Lindeberg's condition for multidimensional CLT. Here we will need to use the fact that for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|f(x)| \leq F(x)$ .
- Below we sketch the proof for ASEC which is a more difficult part.

Define

$$\mathcal{F}_\delta = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta\}$$

and  $G_n = \sqrt{n}(P_n - P)$ . Note that

$$G_n f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}_P[f(X_i)]).$$

The goal is to show

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_n P \left( \sup_{f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta} |G_n(f - g)| \geq \epsilon_0 \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Verify yourself that this is equivalent to ASEC. Denote

$$\|G_n\|_{\mathcal{F}_\delta} = \sup_{f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta} |G_n(f - g)|.$$

From the same symmetrization argument as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|G_n\|_{\mathcal{F}_\delta} \geq \epsilon_0) &\leq \frac{2}{\epsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\epsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - \tilde{f}(X_i)) \right| \right] + \frac{2}{\epsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \tilde{f}(X_i) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\theta_n} \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}_\delta, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(P_n)}, \epsilon)} d\epsilon \right] + C\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_n = \sup_{f, g \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \|f - g\|_{L_2(P_n)} \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \|f\|_{L_2(P_n)}$  and  $\theta_n = D_n/2 \leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \|f\|_{L_2(P_n)}$ . Denote

$$I := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\theta_n} \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}_\delta, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(P_n)}, \epsilon)} d\epsilon \right]$$

One can show that  $N(\mathcal{F}_\delta, L_2(P_n), \epsilon) \leq N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(P_n), \epsilon/2)^2$ . To see this, note that

- Suppose  $\{f_i\}_N$  is  $\epsilon/2$ -net of  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- Then  $\{f_i - f_j : i \leq N, j \leq N\}$  has  $N^2$  elements, which forms an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$ .

Replacing  $\epsilon$  by  $\|F\|_{L_2(P_n)}\epsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\theta_n/\|F\|_{L_2(P_n)}} \|F\|_{L_2(P_n)} \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(P_n), \epsilon\|F\|_{L_2(P_n)})} d\epsilon \right] \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\theta_n/\|F\|_{L_2(P_n)}} \|F\|_{L_2(P_n)} \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(Q), \epsilon\|F\|_{L_2(Q)})} d\epsilon \right] \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\|F\|_{L_2(P_n)}^2)} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\theta_n/\|F\|_{L_2(P_n)}} \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(Q), \epsilon\|F\|_{L_2(Q)})} d\epsilon \right)^2 \right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\theta_n = D_n/2 \leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \|f\|_{L_2(P_n)}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \|f\|_{L_2(P_n)}^2 &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} P_n f^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} |(P_n - P)f^2| + \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} |Pf^2|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  because of the entropy condition. The second term goes to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\theta_n$  can be made small for large enough  $n$  and small enough  $\delta$ . By DCT,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\theta_n / \|F\|_{L_2(P_n)}} \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(Q), \epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)})} d\epsilon \right)^2 \right],$$

will be small for large enough  $n$  and small enough  $\delta$ . Thus  $I$  can be made arbitrarily small, which completes the proof.

## 2 Goodness of fit statistics

### 2.1 Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test

Suppose we observe  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim^{i.i.d} F$ . We aim to test the null hypothesis that

$$H_0 : F = F_0.$$

Let  $F_n$  be the empirical cdf. The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test statistic is defined as

$$KS_n = \sqrt{n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F_0(t)|,$$

Under the null hypothesis,

$$KS_n = \sqrt{n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F(t)| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\sqrt{n}(P_n - P)f|$$

where  $\mathcal{F} = \{1[\cdot \leq t], t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

### 2.2 Claim

$\mathcal{F}$  is a Donsker class. To see this, we first note that the envelop function can be taken as  $F \equiv 1$ . Second, one can show

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\mathcal{F}, L_2(Q), \epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)})} d\epsilon < \infty.$$

Thus we have  $\sqrt{n}(P_n - P)f \rightarrow^d G_P(f)$  or equivalently

$$\sqrt{n}(F_n(t) - F(t)) \xrightarrow{d} G_F(t),$$

where  $G_F$  is a Brownian Bridge with

$$\text{Cov}(G_F(t), G_F(s)) = F(t \wedge s) - F(t)F(s).$$

Note that the map  $f \mapsto \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t)|$  is continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  as  $\|f\|_\infty - \|g\|_\infty \leq \|f - g\|_\infty$ . By the continuous mapping theorem

$$KS_n = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\sqrt{n}(F_n(t) - F(t))| \rightarrow \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |G_F(t)| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |G_\lambda(F(t))| = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} |G_\lambda(u)|,$$

where  $\lambda$  is the uniform distribution/measure on  $(0, 1)$ . We can see that

$$\text{Cov}(G_\lambda(F(t)), G_\lambda(F(s))) = \lambda(F(t) \wedge F(s)) - \lambda(F(t))\lambda(F(s)) = F(t \wedge s) - F(t)F(s) = \text{Cov}(G_F(t), G_F(s)).$$

### 2.3 Cramer-Von Mises Statistics

The Cramer-Von Mises Statistic is defined as

$$CV_n = n \int (F_n(t) - F_0(t))^2 dF_0(t).$$

Under the null,

$$CV_n = n \int (F_n(t) - F(t))^2 dF(t) = \int \{\sqrt{n}(F_n(t) - F(t))\}^2 dF(t).$$

The map  $f \mapsto \int f^2(t)dF(t)$  is continuous w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . By the continuous mapping theorem

$$CV_n \xrightarrow{d} \int G_F(t)^2 dF(t) = \int G_\lambda(F(t))^2 dF(t) = \int G_\lambda(u)^2 du.$$

### 2.4 Simulate the limiting distributions

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim^{i.i.d} N(0, 1)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (X_i - \bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{t} G_\lambda(t), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

where  $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

### 2.5 Summary of Empirical Process

	Consistency	Inference
Classical Probability Theory	LLN	CLT
Empirical Process Theory	ULLN (Glivenko-Cantelli class)	Uniform CLT (Donsker class)

Some key techniques:

- covering number, bracketing number
- discretization, approximation of an infinite class by finite/countable class
- VC-dimension
- Concentration inequality
- Rademacher complexity
- Symmetrization
- Chaining argument
- Peeling device...