

# 1 Maximum likelihood estimation

## 1.1 Basic setup

We have a family  $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  of distributions on  $\chi$ , where  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Assumption:** Suppose  $P_\theta$  has a density w.r.t a base measure  $\mu$  on  $\chi$ , that is  $p_\theta = \frac{\partial P_\theta}{\partial \mu}$ .

**Definition:** The log likelihood  $l_\theta(x) = \log p_\theta(x)$  with

$$\nabla l_\theta(x) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} l_\theta(x), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_d} l_\theta(x) \right]^\top,$$

$$\nabla^2 l_\theta(x) = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 l_\theta(x)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right]_{i,j=1}^d.$$

Observe that  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} P_{\theta_0}$  with  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ . We aim to estimate  $\theta_0$  based on  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . A standard estimator for  $\theta_0$  is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) given by

$$\hat{\theta}_n \in \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} P_n l_\theta \quad \text{where} \quad P_n l_\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_\theta(X_i).$$

## 1.2 Main questions

1. Consistency: Whether the MLE converges to the true parameter, that is  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$ ? It comprises of two components!

- Identifiability of the parameter;
- Convergence of  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .

2. Does there exist a  $r_n$  such that

- $r_n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = O_p(1)$ ,
- $r_n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} ?$  (some distribution)

3. Optimality : Is the MLE better than the MOME?

## 1.3 Identifiability Condition

A family of models  $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is identifiable if  $P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$  for all  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$ . If  $P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$ , then we have

- There exists  $A \subseteq \chi$  such that  $P_{\theta_1}(A) \neq P_{\theta_2}(A)$ ,
- $D_{KL}(P_{\theta_1} || P_{\theta_2}) > 0$ .

## 1.4 Proposition

Suppose  $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is identifiable and  $\text{cardinality}(\Theta) < \infty$ . Then, if  $\hat{\theta}_n \in \text{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} P_n l_\theta$ , we can say that,

$$\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0.$$

### 1.4.1 Proof

Since  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} P_{\theta_0}$ , by the strong law of large numbers, we have

$$P_n l_\theta \xrightarrow{a.s} P_{\theta_0} l_\theta, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

Note that

$$P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_\theta = E_{\theta_0} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta_0}(X)}{p_\theta(X)} \right] = D_{KL}(P_{\theta_0} || P_\theta), \quad X \sim P_{\theta_0}$$

which implies that  $P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_\theta > 0$  if  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ . As  $P_n l_\theta \xrightarrow{a.s} P_{\theta_0} l_\theta$  and  $\text{cardinality}(\Theta) < \infty$ , there exists  $A$  such that  $P(A) = 1$  and for any  $\omega \in A$

$$P_n l_\theta(\omega) \rightarrow P_{\theta_0} l_\theta \text{ uniformly over } \Theta.$$

Note that this is possible as  $\text{cardinality}(\Theta) < \infty$  (a more general result requires empirical process theory). There exists  $N(\omega)$  such that when  $n \geq N(\omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} P_n l_{\theta_0}(\omega) - P_n l_\theta(\omega) &= [P_n l_{\theta_0}(\omega) - P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0}] - [P_n l_\theta(\omega) - P_{\theta_0} l_\theta] \\ &\quad + [P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_\theta] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ . Then, from the definition of  $\hat{\theta}_n(\omega)$ , we have  $\hat{\theta}_n(\omega) \rightarrow \theta_0$ , which implies that  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{a.s} \theta_0$ .

## 1.5 Proposition

Assume that

- $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |P_n l_\theta - P_{\theta_0} l_\theta| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ ,
- $P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} > \sup_{\theta: \|\theta - \theta_0\| > \epsilon} P_{\theta_0} l_\theta$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Then we have  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$ .

### 1.5.1 Proof

For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$P_{\theta_0} l_\theta < P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - \eta$$

whenever  $\|\theta_0 - \theta\| > \epsilon$ . Notice that

$$P(\|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\| > \epsilon) \leq P(P_{\theta_0} l_{\hat{\theta}_n} < P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - \eta) = P(\eta < P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\hat{\theta}_n}).$$

To complete the proof, we only need to show  $P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\hat{\theta}_n} \leq o_p(1)$ . To this end, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} P_n l_{\hat{\theta}_n} &\geq P_n l_{\theta_0}, \\ P_n l_{\theta_0} &\xrightarrow{P} P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second result follows from Condition 1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_n l_{\hat{\theta}_n} &\geq P_n l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} + P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} \\ &\geq P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - |P_n l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0}| \\ &= P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta_0} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\hat{\theta}_n} &\leq P_n l_{\hat{\theta}_n} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\hat{\theta}_n} + o_p(1) \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |P_n l_{\theta} - P_{\theta_0} l_{\theta}| + o_p(1) \\ &= o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$