

## 1 Symmetrization

### 1.1 A theorem

For  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \right\|^p \leq 2^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i X_i \right\|^p.$$

**Proof.** Let  $X'_i$  be an independent copy of  $X_i$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \right\|^p &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}X'_i) \right\|^p = \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - X'_i) | X_i] \right\|^p \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X'_i) \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \right\|^p \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X'_i) \right\|^p \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \right] \quad (\text{Jensen for } f(x) = x^p) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X'_i) \right\|^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_i - X'_i = {}^d X'_i - X_i = {}^d \epsilon_i (X_i - X'_i)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S. &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X'_i) \right\|^p \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (X_i - X'_i) \right\|^p = 2^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i X_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i X'_i \right\|^p \\ &\leq 2^p \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i X_i \right\|^p + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i X'_i \right\|^p \right) = 2^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i X_i \right\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Uniform law of large numbers

Using symmetrization argument, we can find a sufficient condition for ULLN.

### 2.1 Rademacher complexity

$$P \left( \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |P_n f - P f| > \epsilon \right) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right].$$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |P_n f - P f| > \epsilon\right) &\leq \epsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |P_n f - P f| \right] \text{ (Markov ineq)} \\
&= \epsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E} f(X_i)) \right| \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(X'_i)) \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \right| \right] \text{ (Symmetrization)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(X'_i)) \right| \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(X'_i)) \right| \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(X'_i)) \right| \right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - f(X'_i)) \right| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| + \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X'_i) \right| \right] \\
&= \frac{2}{\epsilon n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to show ULLN, one way is to find a bound of  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right]$ , and this quantity is called the **Rademacher complexity** of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and denote by  $R_n(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore if  $R_n(\mathcal{F}) = o(n)$ , ULLN holds.

There is another way to define the Rademacher complexity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then,

$$R_n(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |\langle a, \epsilon \rangle| \right]$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a dot product and  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ . Define the convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}$  by,

$$\text{convex}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_i \middle| a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}, w_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Then  $R_n(\text{convex}(\mathcal{A})) = R_n(\mathcal{A})$ . (Exercise)

## 2.2 A version of uniform law of large numbers

Assume there exists an  $F \in L_1(P)$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|f| \leq F$ . The function  $F$  is called an **Envelope function**. For  $M > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , define

$$f_M(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & |f(x)| \leq M \\ 0 & |f(x)| > M \end{cases},$$

and define  $\mathcal{F}_M = \{f_M | f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . The following result links the connection between the covering number and the uniform law of large numbers.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions with the envelop function  $F \in L_1(P)$ . Assume for all  $M > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\log N(\mathcal{F}_M, L_1(P_n), \epsilon) = o_p(n),$$

where  $N(\mathcal{F}_M, L_1(P_n), \epsilon)$  is the covering number. Then,

$$\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow_p 0.$$

**Proof.** Using the Markov inequality, it is enough to bound  $\mathbb{E}[\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}]$ . By the previous result,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}] &\leq \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - f_M(X_i)) \right| \right] + \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f_M(X_i) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \underbrace{\frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i)| I\{|f(X_i)| > M\} \right]}_{=:A} + \underbrace{\frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f_M(X_i) \right| \right]}_{=:B}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the envelope function, we have

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(X_i)| I\{|f(X_i)| > M\} \leq |F(X_i)| I\{|F(X_i)| > M\}.$$

Therefore, using  $F \in L_1$ ,

$$A \leq 2\mathbb{E}[|F(X_i)| I\{|F(X_i)| > M\}] \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . To bound  $B$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the minimal  $\epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}_M$  ( $\mathcal{G}$  is finite by assumption). Then, by the definition of  $\epsilon$ -cover, for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}_M$ , we can find  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  which satisfies  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - g(X_i)| \leq \epsilon$ . Therefore,

$$\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right\| \leq \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i) \right\| + \epsilon.$$

Taking  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_M}$  gives an upper bound of  $B$ :

$$B = 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_M} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right\| \right] \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i) \right\| \right] + 2\epsilon.$$

Next, conditioning on  $X_i$ ,  $(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i))$  is  $(\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)^2)$ -sub-Gaussian. Therefore, use the fact that  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)^2 \leq M^2$ , it is easy to show  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i))$  is  $M^2$ -sub-Gaussian. Therefore use the lemma from previous lecture,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i) \right\| \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ n^{-1/2} \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i) \right\| \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ M \left( \sqrt{2n^{-1} \log 2N(\mathcal{F}_M, L_1(P_n), \epsilon)} \wedge 1 \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}[o_p(1) \wedge M] = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to claim that  $\mathbb{E}[o_p(1) \wedge M] = o(1)$ . By letting  $M \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ ,  $A + B$  will go to zero. Thus  $\mathbb{E}[\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$